I.	Program	Descri	ption
----	----------------	--------	-------

A.	What is the	orimary	mission of	vour	program	(check all that	apply):

Basic Skills

Cultural and Personal Enrichment

Academic Support/Learning Resources

Career/Technica

B. Program Description

If applicable, note the number of certificates and degrees that have been awarded in the previous academic year.

http://www.research.fhda.edu/factbook/deanzadegrees/dadivisions.htm

CTE programs refer CTE Program Review Addenda reports www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/resources.html

- If the program serves staff or students in a capacity *other that traditional instruction*, e.g. tutorial support, please answer the following two questions. Otherwise, skip to section **II** below:
 - a. How many people are served?

n/a # of Students n/a # of Staff
n/a # of Faculty

b. Number of employees associated with the program?

n/a # of Students n/a # of Faculty
n/a # of Staff # of Part-Time Faculty

II. Methods of Evaluation and Assessment

A. Attach the "Program Review Data Sheet". Briefly, address student success data relative to your program by answering the items listed below (refer to the link):

http://research.fhda.edu/programreview/DAProgramReview/DeAnza_PR_Div_pdf/DeAnzaProgramReviewDiv.htm

1 Growth or decline in underrepresented populations (Latina/o, African Ancestry, Pacific Islander, Filipino)

Explanation: The water polo programs have had an increase in target population from 2007 to 2010.

2 Trends related to closing the student equity gap relative to college's stated goals: (refer to

http://www.deanza.edu/president/EducationalMasterPlan2010-2015Final.pdf, p16)

Explanation: In 2007-2008, the hispanic population showed higher retention and success rates than some of the non-targeted populations.

What progress or achievement has the program made relative to the plans stated in the 2008 Comprehensive Program Review, Section III.B, towards decreasing the student equity gap? See:

http://www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/program_review_files.html, "Program Review Reports, 2009"

Explanation: There has been a steady increase in enrollment from target populations from 2007-2010.

4 Overall enrollment growth or decline of all student populations

Explanation:	Overall, the water polo programs have shown enrollment growth from both the targeted and non-targeted populations
r	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	from 2007-2008 through 2009-2010. The women's water polo team has a cumulative G.P.A. of 3.1 from 2007-2010 and
	the men's water polo team has a cumulative GPA of 2.90.

B. Did your program implement any curriculum, program reorganization, etc. changes as a response to changes in College/District policy, state laws, division/department/program level requirements or external agencies regulations? How did the change(s) affect your program?

Change: No change.

Explanation:

C. Based on the 2008-09 Comprehensive Program Review, Section I.C., "Main Areas of Improvement", briefly address your program's progress in moving towards assessment or planning or current implementation of effective solutions.

See: http://www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/program_review_files.html, "Program Review Reports, 2009"

Explanation: There was no program review done in 2008 for water polo.

D. Career Technical Education (CTE) programs, provide regional, state, and labor market data, employment statistics; please see "CTE Program Review Addenda" at:

www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/resources.html

Identify any significant trends that may affect your program relative to:

- 1 Curriculum content,
- 2 Future plans for your program e.g. enrollment management plans.

No significant change
Impact: N/A
Explanation:

E. *Career Technical Education* (CTE), provide recommendations from this year's Advisory Board (or other groups outside of your program, etc.). Briefly, address any significant recommendations from the group. Describe your program's progress in moving towards assessment or planning or current implementation of effective solutions.

No significant change
Impact: N/A
Explanation:

III Select IIIA or IIIB below:

Note instructions and materials for this section can be found at:

https://www.deanza.edu/slo

- A. For programs whose primarily align to the <u>Institutional Core Competencies</u>, <u>ICCs</u>: attach the 2010-11 "Mapping Program Level Outcomes to Institutional Core Competencies" sheet(s) and "Program Level Outcomes Assessment Plan" sheet(s)
 - 1 Describe the processes by which your program members have or will assess program level outcomes: (check those that apply)

course-embedded X surveys
Other, describe her

Review the ECMS-SLO Summary Report or SSLO Summary Report (*Division Deans shall be sent that report*). What percentage of courses that should undergo a SLOAC process are:

		NA	2 complete	in progress	scheduled to be assessed		
	3				n staff and faculty with the outcomes assessment process (SLOAC,		
		SSLOAC) since la	ast year?				
		The head coach	and assistant coaches eng	gaged in the assessmen	t outcome process for water polo.		
	4		enhancements are you im itional resources below:	plementing as a result	of the program level assessment process? Describe enhancements that do		
		summarize result:		plan/enhancement:	Currently, there are no plans to change the assessment process.		
		summarize result:		plan/enhancement:	3		
B.	For programs wh	ose PLOs primar	ily align to the Strategic I	nitiatives: Attach the 2	010-11 "Mapping Program Level Outcomes to Strategic Initiatives"		
	sheet(s) and "Pro	gram Level Outco	omes Assessment Plan" sl	neet(s):			
	1	Describe the pro	ocesses by which your pro	ogram members have o	or will assess program level outcomes: (check those that apply)		
		course-embe	edded X	surveys			
		Other, describe	her	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	2				ort (Division Deans shall be sent that report). What percentage of courses		
			ergo a SLOAC process are	:			
			2 complete	in progress	scheduled to be assessed		
	3						
		SSLOAC) since la	,				
		Both the head co	oach and assistant coache	s engaged in the outco	mes assessment process for water polo.		
	What program enhancements are you implementing as a result of the program level assessment process? Describe enhancements						
		not require additional resources below:					
		summarize result:	The student-athletes	plan/enhancement:	Ther are no plans to change assessments to the water polo program as a		
			have had the		part of the overall athletics program.		
			opportunity to				
			experience college level				
			athletics, and practice				
			and compete at the				
			highest level.				
		summarize result:		plan/enhancement:			

See: http://www	v.deanza.edu/gov	/IPBT/program_review_	files.html, "Program Review Reports, 2	2009"
			B" Budget, faculty refresh, Measure	
A. Please submit u	ıp to three facu l —	lty and/or staff requ	ests below in ranked order: (copy this	s section as needed)
	Rank	Replace	Growth	
Position:				
Department:			Contact person	extension
1	•	•		quo of your program plan to improve student learning relative
		Mission, Institutional (Core Competencies, or Program goals	/plans below:
	Statement:			
2	Highlight FTI	E, PT/FTE ratios, and	WSCH that support your request belo	DW:
2	If applicable	diaguas DLOAC agasa	sment regults that support the progre	m need for this resource below.
3	ii applicable,	discuss PLOAC assess	sment results that support the progra	in need for this resource below:
4	Dlagge note:	It is an avmostation th	at all resources that are allegated 2 or	mayo yoo ya nii ay ta tha nayt Campyah angiya Dyagyam Dayiayy
Please note: It is an expectation that all resources that are allocated 2 or more years prior to the next Comprehensive Prog (2013-14) will be assessed relative to their contribution to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program.				
	,			sess the effect of this additional staff/faculty position to your
	program belo		one of the criteria you may use to as:	sess the effect of this additional stair/faculty position to your
	program ber	ow.		
		2		
3. As applicable, li	-			
		y refresh, Measure C		
refer to: http://w			e%20C_Prioritization_Processes_ClgeCnclApprov	
	naterials, "B" Bı	udget, faculty refresh,	Measure C equipment, requests below	w in ranked order: (copy this section as needed.) List 3 here, kee
Please submit r		•		
Please submit r	t of all items on	•		
Please submit r	t of all items on	•	Growth	
Please submit r	Rank	hand.	Growth	
Please submit r a prioritized lis	Rank	hand.	Growth	
Please submit r a prioritized lis Item Description	Rank	hand.	Growth	
Please submit r a prioritized lis Item Description	Rank on:	hand.	Growth	extension
Please submit r a prioritized lis Item Description Cost Estimate:	Rank on: :	hand. Replace		
Please submit r a prioritized lis Item Descriptio Cost Estimate: Contact person	Rank on: : Briefly state	hand. Replace below how this resou		ıs quo of your program plan to improve student learning relativ
Please submit r a prioritized lis Item Descriptio Cost Estimate: Contact person	Rank on: : Briefly state	hand. Replace below how this resou	rce will enhance or maintain the statu	ıs quo of your program plan to improve student learning relativ

3	If applicable, discuss PLOAC outcome assessment results that support the program need for this resource below:
4	Please note: It is an expectation that all resource that are allocated 2 or more years prior to the next comprehensive program review (2013-14) will be assessed relative to their contribution to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review criteria. In this light, briefly state some of the criteria you may use to assess the effect of this additional resource to your program below:
	Criteria:

Dean's Summary

- VI. Resource Requests include: staff, faculty, materials, "B" Budget, facility refresh, Measure C equipment
 - A. Please submit up to three faculty and/or staff requests below in ranked order: (copy this section as needed)

	Rank	Replace	Growth				
Position:							
Department:							
Contact person:			extension				
1		ogram plan to in	s rationale and from a <u>dean's perspective</u> , briefly state how this person will enhance or maintain the status approve student learning relative to the campus Mission, Institutional Core Competencies, or Program				
2	Address FTE, PT/FTE ratios and WSCH that support your request below:						
3	In light of the department's statements about assessment results, describe any additional need or service to the College this person may bring to the Division below:						
4	assessed relati	ive to their contr	ce allocations (awarded 2 or more years prior to the next Comprehensive Program Review) will be ributions to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review criteria. In this light, ia you, <u>as the Dean</u> , may use to assess the effect of this additional staff/faculty position to your program				
	Criteria:						

B. As applicable, list your requests for:

Materials, "B" Budget, faculty refresh, Measure C equipment

 $refer\ to: \ http://www.deanza.edu/gov/techtaskforce/pdf/Measure\%20C_Prioritization_Processes_ClgeCnclApproved6_10_10.pdf$

Please submit materials, "B" Budget, faculty refresh, Measure C equipment, requests below in ranked order: (copy this section as needed.) List 3 here, keep a prioritized list of all items on hand.

	Rank	Replace		Growth			
Item Description	:						
Cost Estimate:							
Contact person:				extension:			
1	From a <u>Dean's perspective</u> , are there additional factors to add to the Department's rationale for this resource request? How will the addition of this resource enhance or maintain the status quo of this program's plan to improve student learning relative to the campu Mission, Institutional Core Competencies, or Program Goals? Use the following three sections below to state:						
	Rational here:						
2	Highlight FTE, P	, PR/FTE ratios and WSCH that support the request below:					
3 If applicable, discuss PLOAC outcome assessment results that support the program need for this resource below				sessment results that support the program need for this resource below:			
4	Review) will be	assessed relati ight, briefly sta	ve to their	resources that are allocated (awarded 2 or more years prior to the next Comprehensive Program reontributions to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review of the criteria you, as a Dean, may use to assess the effect of this additional staff/faculty position to			